Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/701,160	BARHAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) Margaret Meta Brumm.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections in the Prior Office Action dated 3-12-03		
Claims discussed: 16		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend claim 16 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in Table 3 on page 13 of the instant specification.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
At a Shakeri		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)